

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/885,269	09/15/2000	Lawrence N. Crane	3693-001688	3407
7590 06/29/2005			EXAMINER	
Webb Ziesenheim Logsdon Orkin & Hanson PC			FEELY, MICHAEL J	
700 Koppers Bu	ıilding			
436 Seventh Av	renue		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Pittsburgh, PA	15219-1818		1712	,
			DATE MAILED: 06/29/200:	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	ليم		M
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	ι
Office Action Commence	09/885,269	CRANE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael J. Feely	1712	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may ly within the statutory minimum of t will expire SIX (6) M a, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this commandate of this com	nunication.
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 S 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under B 	s action is non-final.	• •	erits is
Disposition of Claims	•	•	
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) 25 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10,17-23 and 26 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 11-16 and 24 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 15 September 2000 is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	are: a)⊠ accepted or b drawing(s) be held in abey tion is required if the drawi	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Its have been received in Its documents have been Its (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Sta	age
AMARIAN MARKAN			
Attachment(s) 1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0801.	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)

Art Unit: 1712

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 2-4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. The compounds set forth in claims 2-4 and 7 lack antecedent basis. These compounds do not fall within the scope of claim 1 because the structures in claim 1 do not include cycloaliphatic epoxide groups or cycloaliphatic episulfide groups.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an

Art Unit: 1712

international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

5. Claims 1, 5, 6, 9, 10, 17-22, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Okoroafor et al. (Pub. No.: US 2001/0047043 A1).

Regarding claims 1, 5, 6, 9, 10, 17, 21, and 22, Okoroafor et al. disclose: (1) a curable composition (paragraph 0015) comprising a compound having at least one thermally cleavable linkage and being selected from the group consisting of a) the compound of formula I see claim for structure (paragraphs 0027-0029), and b) the compound of formula IX see claim for structure (paragraph 0052);

- (5) wherein said compound having at least one thermally cleavage linkage is b), and is represented by formula X see claims for structure (paragraph 0052); (6) wherein said compound is selected from the group consisting of see claims for list of structures (paragraph 0052);
- (9) a thermosetting resin composition comprising a curable resin component, at least a portion of which comprises a curable composition as in claim 1 (paragraph 0015); (10) further comprising: a curing agent component comprising a member selected from the group consisting of anhydride compounds, amine compounds, imidazole compounds, and combinations thereof (paragraph 0056); and optionally, an inorganic filler;
 - (17) wherein the anhydride compounds of the curing agent may be selected from the group consisting of hexahydrophthalic anhydride, methyl hexahydrophthalic anhydride, 5-(2,5-dioxotetrahydrol)-3-methyl-3-cyclohexane-1,2-dicarboxylic anhydride, and combinations thereof (paragraph 0056);

Art Unit: 1712

Page 4

(21) wherein the curing agent component is used in an amount of from about 3 to about 60 parts by weight, per 100 parts by weight of the curable resin (paragraph 0056); and

(22) wherein the curing agent component is used in an amount of from about 5 to about 40 parts by weight, per 100 parts by weight of the curable resin (paragraph 0056).

Regarding claim 26, Okoroafor et al. disclose: (26) a compound represented by the formula see claim for structure (paragraph 0052).

Regarding claims 18-20, Okoroafor et al. do not disclose the limitations of claims (18-20); however, these limitations are not explicitly required in the claimed invention. The curing agent is still open to all the Markush options set forth in claims 10.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 8 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Okoroafor et al. (Pub. No.: US 2001/0047043 A1).

Regarding claims 8 and 23, Okoroafor et al. do not explicitly disclose: (8) wherein the reaction products of the composition of claim 1 are reworkable through thermal decomposition under exposure to temperature conditions in excess of those used to cure the composition, and (23) wherein the thermosetting resin composition is capable of sealing underfilling between a semiconductor device including a semiconductor chip mounted on a carrier substrate and a circuit board to which said semiconductor device is electrically connected, reaction products of which are capable of softening and losing their adhesiveness under exposure to temperature conditions in excess of those used to cure the composition.

However, since the material limitations have been satisfied, it appears that these characteristics would have been inherent in the composition of Okoroafor et al. It has been found that, "Products of identical chemical composition can not have mutually exclusive properties." A chemical composition and its properties are inseparable. Therefore, if the prior art teaches the identical chemical structure, the properties applicant discloses and/or claims are necessarily present – *In re Spada*, 911 F.2d 705, 709, 15 USPQ2d 1655, 1658 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Therefore, the composition of Okoroafor et al. would have inherently featured the properties set forth in claims 8 and 23 because they anticipate all of the material limitations of the claimed composition.

Art Unit: 1712

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 6

8. Claims 2-4 and 7 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

- 9. Claims 11-16 and 24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 10. Claim 25 is allowed.

Art Unit: 1712

Communication

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael J. Feely whose telephone number is 571-272-1086. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on 571-272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael J. Feely Primary Examiner Art Unit 1712